



**BULLETIN OF THE INTERNATIONAL COLLEGE OF THE
GUARANTEE
ICG 2025-2026**

Echos, **E**choes, **E**cos, **E**chi n° 9

June 2025

True to its informative vocation, this 9th issue of Echoes reports on the first steps of the current International College of the Guarantee, IGC 2025-2026, as well as the College of Animation and Orientation of the School, CAOS 2025-26.

You will also find attached the updated Internal Rules of the IGC, with the names of the current colleagues responsible for the international and local Guarantee *dispositifs*, and for the local reception and epistemic *dispositifs*.

CONTENTS

- Members of the ICG
- ICG work since January 2025
- Division of responsibilities
- The cartels of the pass
- Epistemic matters
- The CAOÉ College of animation and orientation of the School
- The Bilingual and intercontinental cartels of the CAOÉ
- School Meetings
- Contributions
 - Philippe Madet : Naked speech
 - Gabriel Lombardi : Equivocations of the pass
- Appendix
 - Interamerican Symposium School Meeting of July 4th 2025
 - European Convention School Meeting of July 12th 2025
 - ICG Internal Rules (Last updated in May 2025)

MEMBERS OF THE ICG 2025-2026

In principle, the ICG is composed of 17 members, but in the absence of a candidate from Spain, the ICG 2025-2026 has the following 16 members:

- Dyhalma Ávila López, Guaynabo, Puerto Rico, AME (secretary for America)
- Antonia Maria Cabrera, Madrid, Spain, AME
- Ida Freitas, Salvador, Brazil, AME
- Adriana Grosman, Sao-Paulo, Brazil, AME
- Rosa Guitart-Pont, Rennes, France, AME (secretary for Europe)
- Lidia Hualde, Paris, Besançon, France, AME
- Dimitra Kolonia, Paris, France, AE
- Gabriel Lombardi, Buenos Aires, Argentina, AME
- Philippe Madet, Bordeaux, France, AME
- Amparo Ortega, Valencia, Spain, AME
- Montserrat Palleja, Tarragone, Spain, AME
- Silvia Rodriguez, Melbourne, Australia, AME
- Christelle Suc, Cambon, France, AE
- Daphné Tamarin, Londres, England, AME
- Patricia Zarowsky, Paris, France, AME
- Gabriella Zorzutti, Denver, USA, AME



ICG's WORK SINCE JANUARY 2025

The IGC took up its duties at the beginning of the year, after a meeting with the outgoing IGC, which, in addition to reminding it of the various tasks to be carried out, passed on five passes in the process of being finalized.

Like the two previous IGCs, the current IGC meets on the first Sunday of the month. Meetings are held in French and Spanish, with simultaneous translation provided by bilingual colleagues.

The first part of the meeting is devoted to the following tasks:

- The organization of the cartels of the pass.
- Discussing the passes that have already taken place.
- The organization of events or publications for which the IGC is responsible.

The second part of the meeting is devoted to epistemic reflection.

DIVISION OF THE DIFFERENT RESPONSIBILITIES

The IGC's responsibilities have been allocated as follows:

- Echos newsletter, to be published twice a year in June and December:
 - Rosa Guitart
 - Patricia Zarowsky
- Flying papers newsletter, to be published in June and December:
 - Dyhalma Ávila
 - Adriana Grosman
- Epistemic Publication *Wünsch*, to be published annually in April:
 - Dyhalma Ávila
 - Rosa Guitart
 - Philippe Madet
- Pass Notebook updates
 - Dimitra Kolonia

- Translations :
 - Ida Freitas - Portuguese
 - Amparo Ortega - Spanish
 - Christelle Suc - French
 - Marina Severini - Italian
 - Gabriela Zorzutti - English

THE CARTELS OF THE PASS

These cartels are composed within the IGC on a case-by-case basis, according to the completed passes to be studied and depending on linguistic compatibilities and analytical incompatibilities. Each cartel is made up of colleagues from the three Local School dispositifs (2 from America, 2 from France, 1 from Spain).

In order to listen to the testimonies of the 5 passes transmitted by the previous IGC, 3 cartels were formed. The first met in Buenos Aires in April 2025 and resulted in the appointment of an Analyst of the School, AE: Agustina Cedolini (Argentina), whom the community was informed of in the announcement of April 23rd 2025. The other two cartels will also meet in Buenos Aires in July 2025, at the Inter-American Symposium.

Four new pass applications have been registered since January 2025. Two have been sent to the CLGAL (Commission Local of the Guarantee for America Latin) and two to the CAG-France (Commission de Access and Guarantee). The corresponding cartels of the pass will be set up once the ICG has been informed of the completion of the passer-passers testimonies.

EPISTEMIC MATTERS

In asking ourselves what the passant can testify about his passage to the position of analyst, we very quickly evoked a number of aporias. So we decided to propose as the theme for the School Day of the XIII International Meeting in Sao Paolo, on 23 July 2026, "Passage to the analyst: aporias of testimony".

We have also decided to work on this theme in advance, by referring to what we learn from the passes we listen to and also to certain analytic texts. The two contributions by [Philippe Madet](#) and by [Gabriel Lombardi](#), that you will find in 8 and 9, report on their thoughts on the issues raised.

COLLEGE OF ANIMATION AND ORIENTATION OF THE SCHOOL - CAOÉ

The CAOÉ 2025-2026 is composed of the two ICG secretaries, from Europe and from America, plus two other members of the ICG chosen by the secretaries, plus a support team

Composition of the current CAOÉ

- Dyhalma Ávila (Puerto Rico, secretary from America)
- Rosa Guitart (France, secretary from Europe)
- Adriana Frankel Grosman (Brazil)
- Antonia Maria Cabrera (Spain)
- Karim Barkati (France, support team)
- Marina Severini (Italia, support team)
- Gabriela Zorzutti (Colorado, USA, support team)

Work of the CAOÉ

In order to support the epistemic dimension of the School and to encourage exchanges between its members, the CAOÉ 2025-2026 will continue the experiment of the intercontinental and bilingual cartels, initiated by the CAOÉ 2021-2022. It will also continue to organize an inter-cartel Half-Day, as well as the biannual publication of the Flying Papers Bulletin, which reports on the work of the cartels. The next issue of Flying Papers is scheduled for the end of June 2025, and the inter-cartel Half-Day will take place on 11 October 2025. On this occasion, two round tables will bring together members of the various cartels. The first will focus on From what does the psychoanalyst authorize himself?, and the second on The clinic and ethics of psychoanalysis .

Finally, the epistemic journal *Wünsch*, for which the CAOÉ shares responsibility with the ICG, also aims to promote and report on debates at the intercontinental level.

THE BILINGUAL AND INTERCONTINENTAL CARTELS OF THE CAOÉ

An updated catalogue is periodically published on the IF-EPFCL website:

<https://www.champlacanian.net/public/1/epCartels.php?language=2&menu=1>

https://www.champlacanian.net/public/docu/2/caoe202505_CatalogueCartelsActuel.pdf

To declare an intercontinental cartel, please contact: <mailto:caoe@champlacanian.net>

SCHOOL MEETINGS

One of the IGC's tasks is to organize and run the School Day at the European Convention, at the Inter-American Symposium and at the International Meetings.

The first two events are held every two years, alternating with the International Meeting, which brings together members from both continents.

The next Inter-American Symposium will take place from the 4th to the 6th of July 2025 in Buenos Aires (Argentina). The School Day on July 4th will be the responsibility of the current IGC (on the American side). This will be followed by the IF Days on July 5th and 6th.

On the other hand, the School Day of the next European Convention, which will take place in Venice on July 12th 2025, is the responsibility of the previous IGC (European side). This will be followed by the IF Days on 13 and 14 July.

The School Day at the XIII International Meeting of the School, to be held in Sao Paulo (Brazil) from 23rd to 26th of July 2026, will be the responsibility of the current IGC as a whole.

You will find the program for the next two School Days, the European Convention and the Inter-American Symposium, at [Appendix](#).

Contributions

Naked speech

Philippe Madet

The experience of the *dispositif* of the pass, as a member of a cartel, really begins when the first *passeur* is silent, settles down and then starts to speak. That, at least, is my experience, with a striking effect in that moment, of the order of the intimate, which will be renewed with the listening of subsequent *passeurs* and will make its mark. It occurred to me to call this experience the proof - also in the sense of the proved, in an instant - of the naked word.

I had to go beyond my feelings and explain it to you.

Naked speech, in other words? It's speech stripped of its imaginary garments, offered up and shown in its entirety, making itself very present and powerful. It's impossible not to hear it, it is all you can hear, it's a part of the show in its own right, and it's what brings us together. It resonates as much as it reasons, it says what makes us human, a speaking-being, and therefore not artificial. It is not only meaning, it is essence, and a reminder, if one were needed, of what lies at the heart (and there are others) of psychoanalysis and analytic discourse: the force, indeed the power, of the signifiers of which it is the vehicle. The *analysand*, in the cure, asks to get somewhere, without any knowledge of that somewhere; the cartel of the pass asks to be taken towards the saying of the passage to the analyst, without any pre-diction on that saying.

Of course, we cannot escape the imaginary, but the *dispositif* - cartel members who hardly knew each other, *passeurs* and *passants* with no biographical identity known to said members, and from a different country and language - has the great merit of reducing it considerably and leaving all the more room for ignorance, and therefore for the possible emergence of new knowledge. The device cleans up, purifies, and must disregard too many precautions. There is no question of recognition, all the more so as no transference, other than to analysis, is sought.

The effect of these words is striking even before they are spoken. Even before the *passeur* begins his or her testimony, the words, although they come from a language that is still foreign and not entirely comprehensible, will come forward with all their weight, with all their cause. We expect a lot from these words, which are much more striking than flashy, even though we know that there is something unspeakable about them.

The naked speech is that which allows us not to understand *a priori* but to take in order to learn something from it. In the pass, it is not identical to that which prevails in analytic discourse, it has a specific intention, it is not free association. On the other hand, for psychoanalysts it puts or puts back at the centre one of Freud's discoveries, that listening which is so specific to psychoanalysis, an exposed, present listening, as free as possible from *jouissance*, which implies that the analyst exposes himself. In other words, naked listening.

Equivocations of the pass

Pass from analysand to analyst or pass from analysand to analyst?

Gabriel Lombardi

During the initial debates of the IGC 2025-26, we noted that the term 'pass', as we use it in our School, is equivocal, even multi-vocal. In our experience as a School, we encounter at least the following meanings:

- The **automatic pass** from analysand to analyst, according to which *the end of the analysis is a necessary and sufficient condition* for the pass to the analyst.
- The **pass as an elective act**, not automatic, the pass from analysand-to-analyst which, although it cannot take place without prior analysis, excludes analysis as a sufficient condition. "A true act calls into question all foreknowledge," wrote Boethius. This includes all prior experience. In order to be analytic, the pass, as an elective act, is inscribed as a counter-experience and demands a reinvention of everything that has been said and done in psychoanalysis. Counter-experience not so much in a corrective sense, nor even in the sense of opposition 'against', but as an experience that deviates, if only slightly, from what is already known and expected.
- The so called «**clinical**» **pass** in which the *passant* testifies indirectly through the *passeurs* and which is eventually recognized by the cartel of the pass. It includes the hysterisation (history with a "y" for hysteria) of the *passant*'s analysis, with all that hysteria implies in terms of non-singularity but of particularity: it is the symptom of the symptom of an Other body, not-all singular. The risk involved in deciding on the pass based solely on this hysteria is what, since ancient Greek, has been called *hysteresis*, the dependence of the state of a structure on its history, or in other words, the persistence of the effects of a cause that may have ceased. This leads to the doctrinal effect whereby the person who has been appointed AE is driven to reiterate their self-historizing testimony directly to the public.
- The **effective pass from analysand to analysand in the act of ceding the position of subject to another analysand** (as Lacan posits in the second lesson of his seminar *The Other Side of Psychoanalysis*). This too is not automatic, but elective, and requires the promotion of a change of discourse each time. What the analyst, through his act, institutes as the analytic experience is the structural introduction, under conditions of artifice, of the hysterical discourse on the side of the patient - who in this way becomes the analysand, the new subject of the analytic act. This opens the pass to consideration of the difficulties we encounter in our time in this session and in the acceptance of the subject position on the part of the one consulting. A truly crucial problem that requires updating.
- The **institutional dispositif of the pass** – with its statutory procedures and its actual functioning - which I believe that, curiously, Lacan never called a 'dispositif', for conceptual reasons.

To these perspectives on the pass must be added the “complication” of the indirect testimony imposed by Lacan in the said procedure. Introducing a passeur between the analysand and the jury implies putting into operation a specific mode of transmission of analytic discourse, with the question concerning the passeur that he formulated with precision in 1974[1]: *before engaging in the pass, will he testify **to being at the service of a desire for knowledge that is interested in spotting other knowledge***? On this point, the essence of the pass differs radically from the fact of having satisfactorily completed an analysis - which of course can result in a good therapist, a functionary of analytic discourse, a determined worker, a not-so-inhibited artist or simply an analysand capable of making the best of his or her fate.

Such multivocality of the pass, which is not exhausted in these options, justifies exploring “with repetition” the original that is played out each time, by introducing the particularity of indirect testimonies; and also the “aberration” implied by the elective realization of the pass from analysand to analysand. Deciding and being enthusiastic about becoming an analyst every time you see a patient requires a strong desire that can go far beyond the pleasure principle or the aspiration to earn money.

Lacan's crucial question remains for us: will the AE, the analyst of the School who as such has had to elaborate knowledge with his unconscious, be able to transmit, like the one who was his passeur, that this knowledge he has found, of his own making, is adequate for the identification of other knowledges? In other words, will our School give us an opportunity for a counter-experience in this sense, or will the analytic act continue in the situation in which Lacan found it in the IPA, in the shadows of the end of the analysis - the analysand's satisfaction -?

[1]Lacan posed this question in a note he sent in 1974 to those who were capable of designating passeurs.

APPENDIX

INTERAMERICAN SYMPOSIUM SCHOOL MEETING OF JULY 4TH 2025

Place: Paseo la Plaza, Picasso Theater, Buenos Aires - Argentina

Theme:

*Reinventing psychoanalysis :
A School to activate with repetition, the new*

Argument:

“Reinventing psychoanalysis” is that to which, according to Lacan’s affirmation¹, every analyst is “forced” to, due to the overwhelmingly “annoying” fact that psychoanalysis is “non-transmissible”. He affirms this in the context of the question for the possible transmission at stake in a testimony of the “way in which one becomes analyst”, that is: what makes is so that, after having been an analysand, one becomes analyst? In other words, the question he attempted to respond to with his *Proposition*² of 1967 which established the *dispositif* of the pass.

For Lacan, annoying is both, the non-transmissibility of psychoanalysis, and its effect, which he stresses, is the “one missing”: that reinvention to which each analyst is forced to. It is to this matter precisely, that he had attributed his ‘deception’ on the pass.

What is necessary for each one to reinvent? Here the indication of Lacan: “the way in which psychoanalysis can endure”; something each analyst will, indeed, have to deal with “in terms of what one will be able to withdraw from the fact of having been an analysand for awhile”. These are matters to which, as Lacan pointed out, he had tried to give “a bit more body” to with writings such as that of Other.

We thus borrow Lacan’s expression in order to outline our proposal when organizing this Sixth Interamerican Meeting of our School of Psychoanalysis of the Forums of the Lacanian Field: to encourage, to animate, to enliven, giving the ‘a bit more body’ to the School work around that which Lacan calls us to reinvent. Something to which, though annoying, is best to remain disposed towards; certainly, not from annoyance, but from the enthusiasm of taking perspective of what is at stake: “activating, with repetition, what’s new”.

¹ Lacan, J. (2003). Intervención sobre la transmisión. IX Congreso de la École Freudienne de Paris (1978). Trad. de Michel Sauval. *Acheronta* 17. <https://www.acheronta.org/lacan/9congresefp.htm>

² Lacan, J. (2016). Proposición del 9 de octubre de 1967 sobre el psicoanalista de la Escuela. *Otros escritos*. Buenos Aires: Paidós.

INTERAMERICAN SYMPOSIUM SCHOOL MEETING OF JULY 4th 2025

Program:

- 9:00 am** **Opening remarks:**
Dyhalma Ávila (Puerto Rico)
- 9:30 am** **Table 1: Times of the AE: after having testified, what?**
Christelle Suc (France)
Constanza Lobos (Argentina)
Dimitra Kolonia (France)
Coordinator: Adriana Grosman (Brazil)
- 11:30 am Break**
- 11:45** **Table 2 : What do we talk about when we talk about subjective destitution?**
Ida Freitas (Brazil)
Matías Laje (Argentina)
Coordinator: Gabriel Lombardi (Argentina)
- 1:00 – 3:00 pm Lunch**
- 3:00 pm** **Table 3: Repetition, the new**
Silvia Migdalek (Argentina)
Sonia Alberti (Brazil)
Clara Cecilia Mesa (Colombia)
Coordinator: Gabriela Zorzutti (USA)
- 4:30 pm** **Final Debate Panel (CLGAL)**
Julieta De Battista (Argentina)
Zilda Machado (Brazil)
Coordinator: Clara Cecilia Mesa (Colombia)

EUROPEAN CONVENTION SCHOOL MEETING ON JULY 12th 2025

organized by the European members of the 2023-2024 ICG

Where: Università Ca' Foscari - Aula Magna «G. Cazzavillan» Cannaregio 873, Fondamenta San Giobbe.

Theme:

The Pass: Experience and Testimonies

Argument:

Experience:

From time immemorial, philosophy has endeavored to determine the relationship between experience and knowledge: that which precedes it, or even conditions it, that which is deposited in it and that which can be transmitted from it. The debates and polemics have bounced back and forth from century to century, without ever concluding that one takes precedence over the other. Any mediation that provides access to the experience will remain on the side of the semblant, and nothing will exhaust its reality. But science, by establishing experimentation as a possible measure of truth, has not been able to establish a discourse that is not semblant. "Experience" is a polysemous term, and its German translation reflects its different values: "*Erlebnis*" refers to the lived experience and its contingency, "*Erfahrung*" indicates its value as a process, and "*Experiment*" denotes experimentation.

The psychoanalytic experience involves these different dimensions. The event Freud introduced into the world a new knowledge, the unconscious, on the basis of an experience, conceived by him as an experience of speech. He developed an "experimental" *dispositif* ordered by the process that Lacan would emphasize as the "Freudian process", involving the structural effects that transference uncovers. The operation of the "analyst" can lead to a subversion of the relation to knowledge and *jouissance* that this transference displaces. Lacan's teaching, which seeks to bear witness to what he emphatically calls "the experience of analysis", specifies its conditions, formalizes its structure, implies its effects, and deduces from it the matheme of the Discourse that establishes it. From this he draws out what can be produced from the experience as an end, from which he distinguishes the 'experience of the pass', the passage from psychoanalyzed to psychoanalyst, a condition for the future of the analytic act. The proposal for the pass *dispositif* bets that this experience will not be ineffable and that the School will be able to collect any testimonies of it.

Testimony :

«testimonium» in Latin to give testament, to attest, to contest, to protest... all these derivatives clearly indicate a performative impact that is found in the Saying of the testimony, as an act of enunciation that would have the value of proof. To bear witness is to transmit the "knowledge of experience" of a lived experience by one person alone, summoned to speak out in order to assert this unique experience before another who is supposed to validate this reality, or not.

Justice and history have placed the function of testimony at the heart of their trials, while at the same time highlighting its paradoxical aspect: how can the experience of one person establish certainty?

Wars, the Holocaust and trauma in general throw testimony into another dilemma: between the impossibility and the urgency of saying.

Pass :

In proposing the pass as a clinical event and as a the *dispositif* of “guarantee” of the analyst, Lacan proposes a knot between experience and testimony, trial and proof. The unheard-of experience of the *passant* suddenly presents itself as the urgency of a testimony that takes the School as witness. *Passeurs* too are surprised by this knot between testimony and experience. The cartel in turn, though appointed a jury by Lacan, does not emerge unscathed from the experience it witnesses, and for which it must give an account.

The EPFCL European Convention in Venice offers us another opportunity to put our community of experience to the test.

EUROPEAN CONVENTION SCHOOL MEETING ON JULY 12th 2025

Program:

9:00 am Ouverture

Moreno Biascovich *for the Convention's Organizing Committee*

Dominique Touchon Fingermann, *secretary for Europe ICG 2023-2024*

9:30 am Discussant: Radu Turcanu

Didier Castanet : *Truth - Testimony and Act*

Armando Cote : *Nothing is the same*

Teresa Trías : *The effects of affects in the pass*

11:00 - 11:30 am Break

11:30 am Discussant: Anne-Marie Combres

Clotilde Pascual: *Savoir-faire with the symptom, savoir-faire with lalangue*

Panos Seretis : *The experience that makes one talk*

Elisabete Thamer : *Experience, testimony, forgetting*

1:00 - 2:30 pm Lunch

2:30 pm Discussants: Martine Menès and Philippe Madet

Ana Maeso AE : *Being on the ball*

Elynes Lima AE : *The AE as a witness to the crucial problems of psychoanalysis (zoom)*

Christelle Suc AE : *Poetics of breath*

4:00 pm Discussants: Anastasia Tzavidopoulou and Patricia Zarowsky

Constanza Lobos AE : *Undoing*

Pastora Rivera AE : *From passant to analyst of the School: a fertile breach*

Dimitra Kolonia AE : *Aberration*

Agustina Cedolini AE : *The leaves of a newcomer: a first-hand account (zoom)*

5:45 pm Conclusion

Diego Mautino, support team of the CAO E 2023-2024

Rosa Guitart, Secretary for Europe of the ICG 2025-2026

Internal Rules of the ICG

[last updated in May 2025]

1 - The instances of the *dispositif* of the pass

- 1.1. ICG
- 1.2. Secretaries of the ICG
- 1.3. CAOÉ
- 1.4. The cartels
- 1.5. The Local Secretariats of the pass

2 - Functioning of the *dispositif* of the pass

- 2.1. The list of *passeurs*
- 2.2. The phases of functioning
- 2.3. The transmission of responses of the cartels
- 2.4. The *passeurs*
- 2.5. The International Commission of Accreditation that approves the AMEs

3 - Appendix : admission of School members

1 - THE INSTANCES OF THE DISPOSITIF OF THE PASS 2025/2026

1. ICG

8 members for the dispositif of France and attached:

Rosa Guitart, Lidia Hualde, Dimitra Kolonia, Philippe Madet, Christelle Suc, Daphné Tamarin, Silvia Rodriguez, Patricia Zarowsky

3 members for the dispositif of Spain:

Antonia Maria Cabrera, Amparo Ortega, Montserrat Pallega

5 members for the dispositif of the Americas:

Dyhalma Ávila López (ALN) Ida Freitas (Brésil) Adriana Grosman, (Brésil) Gabriel Lombardi (ALS), Gabriela Zorzutti (ALS)

2. SECRETARIAT OF THE ICG

Rosa Guitart (for Europe) and
Dyhalma Ávila López (for the Americas).

3. COLLEGE OF ANIMATION AND ORIENTATION OF THE SCHOOL (CAOE) 2025-2026

Dyhalma Ávila (Puerto Rico, secretary Americas),
Rosa Guitart (France, secretary for Europe), Adriana Grosman (Brazil),
Antonia Maria Cabrera (Spain), Karim Barkati (France, support team),
Marina Severini (Italia, support team, Gabriela Zorzutti (Colorado, USA, support team)

4. THE WORK OF THE ICG

1 - The cartels of the pass decide on the passes and are composed within the IGC on a case-by-case basis, according to the completed passes to be studied, and according to linguistic compatibilities and analytical incompatibilities.

2 - The members of the IGC meet periodically on the subject of the passes, after the cartels of the pass have made their decisions, to elaborate on the crucial problems of psychoanalysis in intension, especially those that arise in the passes heard. They will report on their debates and evaluate this working formula at the end of their mandate.

5. SECRETARIATS OF THE PASS OF THE LOCAL DISPOSITIFS OF THE SCHOOL

FRANCE

Welcome and Guarantee Committee (CAG) for admission of members and guarantees (pass and AME) :

Nadine Cordova (Secretary), Frédéric Pellion, Annie-Claude Sortant-Delanoë, Elisabete Thamer.

SPAIN

Commission of admission and guarantee – CAG – DEL-F8 :

Ramon Miralpeix (Secretary of the pass), Teresa Trias (Reception of AME propositions), Blanca Sanchez (Responsible of admissions of Members to the School)

AMERICAS

Local Commission of the guarantee for the Americas CLGAL (ALN-ALS-Brasil) – (secretariat of the pass) :

Clara Cecilia Mesa (ALN), Julieta de Battista (ALS) , Tatiana Assadi (Brésil), Zilda Machado (Brésil)

2 – FUNCTIONING OF THE DISPOSITIF OF THE PASS

2.1. THE LIST OF *PASSEURS*

It is drawn up in each *dispositif* by the local pass secretariats (see § 2.2. below), on the proposal of the AMEs of that *dispositif* or of another, if the *passeur* lives there, speaks the language and carries out his main activity there. The local secretariats forward the list to the IGC, which keeps a list for all of the passeurs, including all of the information contained in the couriers' files, which the secretariat sends them at the beginning of their term of office.

2.2. THE PHASES OF THE FUNCTIONING OF THE *DISPOSITIF*

Requests for a pass are received locally by the pass secretariats, which also draw up a list of *passeurs*. This list is regularly revised and updated by the secretariats. The candidate is interviewed by a member of the local pass secretariat, who refers the matter to his or her secretariat, which either approves or declines the request. In the latter case, a second interview may be scheduled if necessary. The secretary will pass on the secretariat's response to the candidate and, if necessary, ask the candidate to draw his or her *passeurs*. The secretary also advises the *passant* that he or she must inform the secretary when his or her testimony has been completed. A *passant* may, if he or she sees fit, challenge a *passeur* and draw another name.

The secretaries of the pass forward to the secretaries of the IGC, as and when required:

- The list of pass requests;
- the list of passes actually initiated after the candidates have been interviewed by one or more members of the secretariat, with the names of the two *passeurs*, and the *passant* form they have received from the secretariat, completed with all the information necessary to constitute a cartel of the pass.

The IGC secretariat decides with the members of the IGC on the composition of the cartels for the various passes, taking into account languages and incompatibilities.

The following should be avoided on the cartel: the analyst of the *passant*, the analyst of a *passeur*, his current control analyst, possibly a current analysand of the same analyst, and also people who are too close. Given the multitude of encounters and the

different working links between colleagues in our School, it's up to each of us to assess our links with the *passant* and the *passeur*, and to decide on any incompatibilities.

2.3. TRANSMISSION OF RESPONSES OF THE CARTELS OF THE PASS

The cartel formulates its response to the *passant* without having to justify it, and in the simplest form possible. Depending on the case: "The cartel has appointed you AE" or "The cartel has not appointed you AE. On this occasion, he or she passes on the list of members of the cartel that had been composed for this pass. On this basis, each cartel will obviously have to assess whether it wishes to add anything, depending on the particular case. The response is sent without delay.

A member of the cartel, chosen by the cartel, transmits the response orally to the *passant*, in person when possible, or by telephone when distances do not allow. The *passant* may, at his or her request, meet with another member of the cartel.

The response: "nomination" or "non-nomination", is communicated for archiving, along with the composition of the cartel of the pass, to the secretariat of the ICG and to the secretariat of the pass of the *dispositif* concerned.

The ICG secretariat (made up of the two secretaries of the ICG) ensures that the Notebook of all these stages is kept. The paper version of the Notebook of Passes is transmitted from ICG to ICG.

.

2.4. THE PASSEURS

The AMEs of the EPFCL may designate *passeurs* as provided for in the "Principles". They do so, at a time they deem appropriate, by contacting the secretariat of the pass of their local School *dispositif* (DEL), or another one, if the *passeur* lives, speaks the language and carries out his or her main activity there.

The secretariats of the pass draw the *passant* two *passeurs* from a list of *passeurs*. This list is composed taking into account incompatibilities - linked to possible risks of transferential bias - such as *passeurs* from the same analyst, *passeurs* in control or in analysis with the *passant's* analyst, *passeurs* in cartel with the *passant* or with the *passant's* analysts and control analyst. Preference is given to *passeurs* who do not yet have a pass in progress, or, failing that, to those who have the fewest. The *passeurs* must necessarily speak the same language as the *passant*, or a language spoken by the *passant*, but not necessarily their own. If a *dispositif* lacks *passeurs* who speak the language of a *passant*, the secretariat can contact the ICG who keeps the pass Notebook, to ask them to point out other *dispositifs* with *passeurs* who speak that language.

.

The *passeur's* mandate is limited to two years or three passes. If a *passeur* has not been drawn by lot within two years of his or her appointment, the appointing AME may or may not renew the appointment if this is necessary for the functioning of the local *dispositif* due to a shortage of *passeurs*.

The secretariats of the pass ensure that the *passant* who draws his or her *passeurs* is ready to begin his or her testimony without delay, and that the testimony does not extend indefinitely.

2.5. THE COMMISSION FOR INTERNATIONAL ACCREDITATION OF AMEs

Composition of the Commission

In the second year of its mandate, the ICG appoints the International Commission for the Accreditation of AMEs. Its composition is proportional to the number of School members in each zone. The list of new AMEs is published in June/July of this second year.

Functioning

Au At the start of its operation, the ICG reminds local commissions of their responsibilities in terms of criteria and information gathering on possible AMEs, particularly for Forums attached to a *dispositif*. The local Guarantee Commissions transmit, within the deadlines set by the ICG, the AME proposals they consider should be examined by the International Accreditation Commission (CAI).

3 – APPENDIX: ADMISSION OF MEMBERS TO THE SCHOOL

A) The articulation between admission to a Forum and to the School

The rule of first entering the Forum, then the School, should be maintained. However, it should be applied with tact, and in exceptional cases, simultaneous admission to the Forum and the School may be envisaged.

B) The question of criteria was taken up again and led to the following suggestion:

Two interviews, or one interview with two members of the Commission, do not seem too much. Regular participation in Forum or Pole activities is taken into account, particularly in cartels, and possibly in the Clinical College to which the candidate belongs. But the question of broader participation in national activities, such as the School Meetings, must also be taken into account.

Since our School has international *dispositifs*, the international dimension cannot be ignored. This international dimension should be presented at the first interview, so that the candidate is aware of it before the second interview. Works published as a result of School Meetings, inter-cartels, etc. are an objective factor in the candidate's involvement that should be taken into account. Consultation with the analyst or controller is not at all compulsory. It is up to the Commission to judge whether, in a given case, it would be appropriate.

- C) **Conditions for admission as a member of the School for Forums attached to the francophone dispositif:** The general condition for admitting a member to the school who belongs to a forum attached to our *dispositif* is that we know enough about his or her analytic formation. On this basis, of course, the CAG will adapt its responses to each case.

Moreover, if one of these candidates has undergone the pass and is not appointed AE, the cartel that has heard his or her testimony may propose that he or she be admitted as a member of the School.

THE LOCAL *DISPOSITIFS* OF ADMISSION AND EPISTEMIC

At the local level, the epistemic dimension is supported by the School's local *Dispositifs*. Forums or federations of forums with fewer than 50 members and more than 30 School members have local epistemic bodies that help disseminate the initiatives of the College of Animation and Orientation of the School.

Let's recall the "Principles for a School..." with regard to Local Epistemic Instances: "... the *dispositifs* are to be defined in each place according to the context, it being understood that they can only apply to a community of at least 50 members of the School for guarantee *dispositifs* and 30 members of the School for epistemic *dispositifs*."

Here are the EPFCL epistemic *dispositifs* not included in the Guarantee *dispositifs*, which concern forums with more than 50 School members

LATIN AMERICA NORTH:

CLEA ALN Local Epistemic and Admission Commission

Maria de los Ángeles Gómez (Puerto Rico), Diana Vilia (Colombia),
Nathalie Ponce (Panamá)

LATIN AMERICA SUR:

COLORADO-COLAGE - ALS Local Commission of admission, guarantee and episteme:

Julieta de Battista (Polo Buenos Aires, Foro Argentino),
Erica Gonzales (Polo Patagónico, Foro Argentino),
Mayda Gago (Polo Nuevo Cuyo, Foro Argentino)

EPFCL BRÉSIL: CLEAG

Local epistemic Commission of admission and guarantee

Luiz Achilles Furtado, Tatiana Assadi, Maria Claudia Formigoni, Zida Machado,
Pedro Moacyr, Gloria Sadala

BRAZIL REDE DIAGONAL:

CEAR Epistemic Commission of admission to the Rede

Silvia Amoedo, Maria Lucia Araujo, Margarete Felipe, Rubia Leira d'Alessandro,
José Antonio Pereira da Silva

SPAIN -DEL-F8 (FFCLE):

Epistemic Commission

Ignacio Arraiza Bermúdez (Responsible of the School Meetings)

Anna Gasull Monmany (Responsible of the School Seminars)

María Jesús Zabalo Iturrioz (Responsible of carteles)

SPAIN - Association FOE-FPG (Foro opción Escola-Foro psicoanalítico de Galicia):

Local Epistemic Commission

Carme Dueñas FOE, Elisa Thomas FOE, M^a Jesús Pedrido FPG

ITALY- FPL: CLEA Local Epistemic Commission of admission

Laura de Caprariis, Serena Lombardi, Maria Teresa Maiocchi (Secretary)

Ivan Viganò