

Echos, Echoes, Ecos, *Echi n°10*

THE LETTER

During the European Study Days in Barcelona on January 21 and 22, 2017, with its very interesting theme, “The knowledge of the psychoanalyst and his know how”, only a few members of the ICG could meet. It was an informal meeting, but one which outlined a certain direction for our future meetings and for the questions that we need to deal with. To an extent, the theme of the Study Days in Barcelona was treated in *Wunsch 16*, and we will pursue it further in texts that will be published in *Wunsch 17*.

The first meeting of the whole ICG took place at the School, rue d’Assas, Paris, on March 4 and 5, 2017.

This meeting of the 16 members of the ICG represented a veritable tower of Babel of languages, and the most surprising aspect was finding a way to understand each other. The fact of being together for an extended period added new links and created an atmosphere for working that was marked by good humour and good will. While it was certainly tiring, I value these meetings spread over two days, for they were rich in the exchanges between us and the debates, which did not preclude us from making decisions. It seems to me that the previous ICG’s decision to meet for a longer period, and to repeat this over the course of the year, was very positive. There were those who compared it favourably with the experience that they had had on former ICGs; the time available allows for a work of elaboration and for exchanges on the spot.

Some members worked as translators and we thank them for without that we would not have an ICG and an International School.

Anne LOPEZ

OUR DECISIONS

We needed to make a number of decisions and we had to determine which of the previous ICG’s decisions we would retain or reject.

1 – Internal Rules

We decided by email to keep the Internal Rules of the preceding ICG, with the expectation that their use in the procedures of our ICG would make evident any elements that required modification. You will find the Internal Rules at the end of this issue of *Echoes*.

2 – Two different cartels

We already decided by email before this first meeting to maintain the two forms of cartel, those of the Pass and of the ICG.

The Cartel of the Pass is formed in order to be adaptable, in as fair a way as possible, to the constraints of language and the avoidance of incompatibilities such as the presence of the analyst of the passand, or of his supervisor, or of a link that is too close. Obviously we look to ensure that each passand can be understood in his own language and how that could be managed. Exceptionally, we asked a recently named AE to act as translator; we would not want to repeat this too often. When drawing the passers by lots, the *dispositifs* of the School must take into account how the list of passers might be constructed and give consideration to the possibilities with regard to the correspondence of languages and the avoidance of incompatibilities. That is to say, not to put all the passers in the hat (if there is a hat!). This would be a list of passers that would be reworked by each *dispositif* of the School in the context of each Pass, and which would also respect the contingency inherent in the drawing of lots.

The three Cartels of the ICG have begun their work, and will continue to function during the two years of the mandate of the ICG. Their work can take place in person before or after our ICG meets but it will be continued for the main part by Skype though sometimes, it seems to me, that can make it more difficult for us to communicate as if the real presence brings something extra. But we continue, with each of us trying, in turn, to prepare a work on specific texts and a common theme. Here are the names of the members of the three ICG cartels and the themes they will work on:

Cartel 1. *Subject: "Satisfaction and real"*

Patricia DAHAN, Carme DUEÑAS, Marie-Noëlle JACOB-DUVERNET (plus-one), Elisabeth LÉTURGIE, Anne LOPEZ, Marcelo MAZZUCA.

Cartel 2. *Subject: "To support the act: the passand, the passer and his role in the dispositif"*

Patrick BARILLOT (plus-one), Roser CASALPRIM, Jean-Pierre DRAPIER, Clara Cecilia MESA, Agnès METTON.

Cartel 3. *Subject : "Nomination"*

Sidi ASKOFARÉ, Sandra BERTA (plus-one), Frédéric PELLION, Marina SEVERINI, Marc STRAUSS.

3 – *Echoes*

As you can see, we are continuing to produce *Echoes*, having considered it a necessary link with the whole School, one that gives an account of part of our work. The pace will be determined by the constraints of time and our themes.

4 – Discussion about a Pass

Discussion between all the members of the ICG on the subject of each Pass will be maintained, with the understanding that each Cartel of the Pass remains responsible for its decision about the passand. This is very interesting work because, thanks to the exchanges, the members of the ICG are mutually enriched by the varied experiences of the Pass and are attentive to the quality of passers; we can talk about this subject as we go along.

Without doubt it will be necessary for us to be precise as to what can be said about a Pass when the analyst of the passand is a part of the ICG. The analyst will take into account his duty of confidentiality in answering yes or no to the Cartel that has heard the testimonies of the passers of his analysand. In speaking, the Cartel can do this, but we leave the choice to the analyst as to whether he wishes to be directly questioned or not.

We have all accepted that the Cartel of the Pass's response to the passand will be without explanation. Thus we maintain a "yes, named" or a "no, not named". The announcement of this "yes" or this "no" will be made by one of the members of the Cartel.

And it is expected that if the Cartel has not made a decision rapidly after listening to the testimonies of two passers, it can continue to discuss this Pass by Skype if necessary.

5 – The Notebook of the Passes

The principle of maintaining the paper Notebook of the Passes was adopted during the Symposium in Medellin, and it will be kept up to date. It seems to us to have a manifest use in documenting the current situation of the Passes and the work of the ICG. Thus we can keep track of things at the same time as we are doing them, that is, of the history of the Pass in our School.

We have not reached an agreement about whether or not we write a brief commentary on each completed Pass in the Notebook of the Passes. The opinions are very divided and for the moment, we have not settled the question. We will pick up this discussion again during our next global meeting on the 1st and 2nd of July, 2017, which will take place in Paris. We are simply suspending the obligation to write, and each Cartel will take the responsibility of determining whether there is a yes or no, in the expectation of a common decision, if decision there is.

The different positions in relation to this question are as follows:

- some express their reservation as to writing something that a passand could find at a later date, for example if he were elected to the ICG. There is a difference between an oral commentary and a written trace, and speaking/writing *from* [à partir de] each Pass is not the same thing as speaking/writing *about* each Pass;
- others emphasized that nothing should be obligatory nor forbidden as to subject matter, the question being rather to reach the point of producing something that we would want to leave in the Notebook of the Passes;
- finally, some propose another notebook, an anonymous one, to be conveyed to the following ICG, unless an appropriate object needs to be invented each time.

We find there the extreme difficulty of formulating a commentary about the Passes that have been heard, but it is not because the exercise is difficult that we will necessarily eliminate it ... The discomfort is a source of putting us to work.

6 – Passes heard and to come

We have listened to three passes during our meeting of March 4 and 5, 2017. One of the Cartels had the good fortune of naming a passand AS. You already know who this is: Carmen LAFUENTE BALLE, from Barcelona.

When we have problems of translation, we can call on one of us or on someone very close to the Pass as we have done in “utilising” a recently named AS. This is a way of proceeding when the usage is linked to incompatibilities.

Several other Passes are pending: one is in course in Italy, another in Brazil. A demand for the Pass from Australia is still pending, but the School does not have any Anglophone passers available.

7 – CIOS, College of Initiatives and Orientation of the School

CIOS comprises the two Secretaries of the ICG, Anne LOPEZ and Marcelo MAZZUCA and two members of the ICG, Roser CASALPRIM and Marina SEVERINI, to whom we have added: Sandra BERTA, Carme DUEÑAS, Clara Cecilia MESA and Marc STRAUSS, particularly for the work of editing *Wunsch* and *Echoes*.

We have discussed the problems to be considered for the Study Day of the School in Latin America that will take place in Rio on September 7, 2017. The Study Day, whose title is “The proof by the School and the School as proof: Fifty Years after the *Proposition*”, will be entirely dedicated to the Pass in its different aspects. Here is the program:

9h to 10h30 – *The function AS*

Coordination : Marcelo MAZZUCA (AMS, AS 2009-2012, SPFLF, ALS, FARP)

Vera IACONELLI (AS 2016-2019, SPFLF, Brazil, FCL-San Pablo).

Elisabeth THAMER (AS 2016-2019, SPFLF, France, Paris).

10h30 to 12h – *The function AMS*

Coordination: Fernando MARTINEZ (Member of the School, SPFLF, ALS, Foro Patagónico del Campo Lacaniano y FARP)

CIG (2014-2016) - Sonia ALBERTI (AMS, SPFLF, Brazil, FCL-Rio de Janeiro)

CIG (2016-2018) – Sandra BERTA (AMS, SPFLF, Brazil, FCL-San Pablo)

CLGAL (2016-2018) – Ricardo ROJAS (AMS, SPFLF, ALN, FCL- Medellín and FCL-Pereira)

14h to 15h30 – *The function of the passer*

Coordination : Ida FREITAS (AMS, SPFLF, Brazil, FCL- Salvador)

Beatriz MAYA (AMS, SPFLF, ALN, FCL-Medellín and FCL-Pereira)

Alejandra NOGUEIRA (Member of FARP, SPFLF, ALS)

Samantha STEINBERG (Member of the School, SPFLF, Brazil, FCL- São Paulo)

15h30 to 17h30 – *Nomination AS*

Coordination: Elisabeth DA ROCHA MIRANDA (AMS, SPFLF, Brazil, FCL- Rio de Janeiro)

Antonio QUINET (AMS, SPFLF, Brazil, FCL-Rio de Janeiro)

Dominique TOUCHON FINGERMANN (AMS, SPFLF, Brazil, FCL- San Pablo)

Gabriel LOMBARDI (AMS, SPFLF, ALS, FARP)

Clara Cecilia MESA (AMS, SPFLF, ALN, FCL-Medellín)

Closing of the Study Day of the School

Marina SEVERINI informed us that the Study Day of the School in Italy focussed on the theme of the passer. The designation of passers is at the frontier of the questions about the Pass and the problem of the AMS.

In France we would like to have multiple debates on these questions. A study day will take place in Paris on September 30, 2017. The exact title is yet to be specified. The study day will consider questions of the School concerning the Pass and the “moments of the Pass”.

In our next meetings we will dedicate some time to the acts required in preparation for the International Study Days that will be held in Barcelona from September 13 to 15, 2018, on the theme, “The Advents of the Real and the Psychoanalyst”. These International Study Days are very important since they bring together two events: the Xth Rendezvous of the IF-SPFLF and the VIth Encounter of the School of Psychoanalysis of the Forums of the Lacanian Field IF-SPFLF.

8 – Dates for the next meetings of the ICG

July 1 and 2, 2017

November 24 and 27, 2017, during the French National Study Days that will take place this year in Toulouse and which will treat the subject of interpretation.

9 – Debate on the AMS

Some time has been necessary for us to grasp what the decision made by the preceding CIG 2014-2016 with regard to rendering the list of AMS “null and void” [*rendre caduc*], meant exactly. The list that had been provided by the AMsS was thus not considered. We had to discuss this at length in order to draw out all the consequences, and to reflect on what we were going to decide and do. To this end we launched a debate on the AMS.

Many of us were in agreement in diagnosing a certain routinisation and attenuation in the way these nominations have been produced. Others were not necessarily convinced that there was truly a problem. We also read the remarks on the quality of some passers who were not always “up to the task” and this difficulty of locating what we call a “moment of the Pass” certainly necessitates a reconsideration of this question in our School.

Based on this *après-coup*, we have, after discussion, “picked up the gauntlet”. This made it possible for us to act on the decision of the previous ICG. But in what form would we do it? There was further discussion about this, and finally we decided to launch a debate on the list for all the members of the School, and did so with some success. Certainly, the whole matter cannot rest on these exchanges, and we must continue to debate in different forms, so it is up to each local *dispositif* to initiate study days, colloquia, writings etc.

It appeared to us to be important to reread, and to encourage the rereading of, the foundational texts, which are always so rich, and with which, perhaps, we are not sufficiently familiar, and to read their variations and their displacements according to Lacan’s construction of the invention of the Pass as a wake up call for what is at stake.

Here are some of the big themes that our ICG has retained as “possible”. It is not about approval since everyone, even in our ICG, has his own opinion, but rather about what has struck us, what we think can be envisioned or is envisageable.

The concern was raised that some could feel hurt if their comments did not appear in what follows, which undoubtedly could happen, but at the moment, a recapitulation of all the contributions would take too much time. The ICG does not forget its primary function, which is to evaluate the Passes and the nominations and to produce, if possible, a work of theorisation and of gathering a series of references.

- Some see the possibility of a division of the function of the AMS into two subsets, or propose that the nomination AMS would be a commitment of 5 years duration that could be renewed, and which would allow the AMS to step down from the function at certain times.
- It could be possible that an AMS designates an analysand who is not his analysand but who is in supervision with him, as passer.

- Beyond what is said about what works or does not work in the use and the abuse of the nomination of AMsS, there were proposals aimed at making the “possible” AMS more aware of the scope of the function and how this might be achieved. For example, he could meet with member of the ICG after he had been proposed, or with a member of the local *dispositif*. A proposal that could be refused? Some would be in favour of a public commitment in front of colleagues, and think that the commitment of the AMS may or may not be renewable.
- When we touch on this Pass, and thus, on the School, we cannot but think that we need to review our politics of acceptance of members of the School, and the grounds for our assessment and our judgement. On this subject, a stronger working with the *dispositifs* of the School would be desirable. Some noted astutely that not to have designated passers does not mean in any way that the AMS is “retired”, but rather that he has no analysands who are at the moment of the Pass. This does not necessarily have a relation to the link with the School.
- Due to his function, the AMS represents our insertion of the School into the public field and we know the difficulties that those who have to choose to select them have encountered. The idea that Members of the School could indicate and propose the names of AMsS breaks the previous *automaton*, but produces this reflection: hoping for some consequences?
- The question of the passer needs to be further explored in our School. It was proposed that in the case where the analyst is in doubt about whether an analysand is at the moment of the Pass, he could have supervision with another analyst in order to speak about it. That could perhaps bear fruit.
- A proposal offered by one of the members of the ICG was to give to the AS the possibility of designating passers; it assumes making the nomination of AS permanent and then the possibility, following from that, of designating a passer. This question must be worked on. Certainly we could think that the new AS had sufficient judgement [*jugeote*] to discern the moment of the Pass in his clinic, given that he had recently “passed”. That would not happen more systematically than for an AMS. To name a passer is never urgent, otherwise the designation “passer” would remain a means in the treatment, so what to expect from it then, and what does the psychoanalyst who has designated it expect?
- Another proposal was that of “dating” [*millésimer*] the AsS, giving their designation a date simply to mark the traces of the history of our School.
- The idea of making the AS permanent comes also from the fact that, in almost all cases of nomination, “one” remembers it, not all and not all AS, but many. Lacan wished that the presence of the AsS would change the “nature of discourse”. In 1973, at the Congress of the Grande Motte, during a session on the Pass (*Lettres de l’Ecole freudienne*, 1975, n°15, pp. 185-193), Lacan said “ I desired another way of recruiting, and that is the Pass; I had the idea that this would be the first step in a different style of recruitment. Of another order, very precisely modelled on that which I had thought then, and which made the analytic discourse specific.”

- There are strong indications from Lacan, above all in the “*Note italienne*”, to encourage AMsS to present themselves for the Pass.
- We see how our current times produce an incredible amount of pseudo-psy that is completely out of step with a long and serious training. We have to bet on these new AsS who can, without doubt, refresh our discourse a little, which is often too abstruse for those outside.
- The AMS must be informed about the symptoms of our times, interpret them and be the one to whom they are addressed. Perhaps, in our School, we lack a group of AMsS where the four discourses complement and sustain each other. And to make the links between AMsS, structured institutionally at the local and international levels, exist within the School in order for them to know when to respond, or not, to the phenomena in our society.
- For some, the term “nomination” that Lacan applied to the AMS, does not seem to completely correspond to the term as it is used in his final teaching as that which knots a point of the real to the other consistencies, S and I; or perhaps it is a simple supposition that a point of the real has been reached; while the guarantee of the title AS is that which is certainly the most assured with regard to the real and the hole in knowledge, a hole of exile, not of refuge, from where the link to the School is opened.
- The AMS represents in his function the two sides of the analytic discourse: intension and extension. Is this extension juxtaposed with the “expansion of the analytic act”? Does it condition it? The analytic discourse is difficult to propagate currently and what is at stake is whether or not psychoanalysis survives.
- The absence of the guarantee does not leave us at ease with regard to the evaluation of an analytic competence. But many emphasise that there is no regulation that will make for a guarantee that is certain, each title resting always on a wager that is more or less risky.
- Some insist on the desire of the School and on the hard working hysteresis that we must develop and take on. Thus we will continue to work on the questions of the passer, the ends of analysis and the aims of an analysis; and to find in the School the solid support for thinking psychoanalysis.

We call on all the *dispositifs* of the School and all the members to pursue this debate in the forms that they think are most appropriate. We thank all who have participated in the debate and who will continue it.

INTERNAL RULES OF THE ICG

Modified in 2017

1. The agencies of the *dispositif* of the Pass

- 1.1 ICG
- 1.2 Secretariat of the ICG
- 1.3 CIOS
- 1.4 The Cartels
- 1.5 The local Secretaries of the Pass

2. The functioning of the *dispositif* of the Pass

- 2.1 The list of passers
- 2.2 The steps in the functioning of the procedure
- 2.3 The transmission of the responses of the cartels
- 2.4 The passers
- 2.5 The International Committee of Accreditation for AMS

3. Appendix: The admission of members of the School

1. THE AGENCIES OF THE *DISPOSITIF* OF THE PASS 2016/2018

1.1 ICG

10 members for France and those attached to France:

10 members for the French *dispositif* and those attached : Sidi ASKOFARÉ, Patrick BARILLOT, Patricia DAHAN, Jean-Pierre DRAPIER, Marie-Noëlle JACOB-DUVERNET, Elisabeth LÉTURGIE, Anne LOPEZ, Agnès METTON, Frédéric PELLION, Marc STRAUSS.

2 members for Spain: Roser CASALPRIM, Carmen DUEÑAS.

1 member for Italy: Marina SEVERINI.

3 members for South America: Sandra BERTA (Brazil), Marcelo MAZZUCA (ALS), Clara Cecilia MESA (ALN).

1.2 SECRETARIAT of the ICG

Anne LOPEZ for Europe, Marcelo MAZZUCA for South America.

1.3 THE COLLEGE OF INITIATIVES AND ORIENTATION OF THE SCHOOL (CIOS) 2016-2018

Marcel MAZZUCA (South America), Anne LOPEZ (Europe), Roser CASALPRIM (Spain), Marina SEVERINI (Italy).

1.4 THE CARTELS

There are two types of cartels, but both obey the same principle of composition: each is composed of a member from Spain or Italy, a member from South America, and three members from France.

1. The Cartels of the Pass. They give a ruling on the Passes and are composed within the ICG, case by case, according to the Passes that are completed, and based on linguistic compatibility and analytic incompatibility
2. The three Cartels of the ICG. They are composed within the ICG for the duration of the mandate of this ICG, by mutual agreement between all members of the ICG, and they will work on certain crucial psychoanalytic questions raised in the procedure. The theme or themes chosen by each of them will be communicated when they begin their function.

Cartel 1. Patricia DAHAN, Carme DUEÑAS, Marie-Noëlle JACOB-DUVERNET (plus-one), Elisabeth LÉTURGIE, Anne LOPEZ, Marcelo MAZZUCA.

Cartel Patrick BARILLOT (plus-one), Roser CASALPRIM, Jean-Pierre DRAPIER, Clara Cecilia MESA, Agnès METTON.

Cartel 3. Sidi ASKOFARÉ, Sandra BERTA (plus-one), Frédéric PELLION, Marina SEVERINI, Marc STRAUSS.

1.5 THE LOCAL SECRETARIATS OF THE PASS:

France

Committee for Reception and the Guarantee (CRG) for the admission of members and the guarantee (Pass and AMS): Annie-Claude SORTANT-DELANÖE (secretary), Françoise LESPINASSE, Mireille SCEMAMA-ERDÖS.

Spain

Committee for Admission and the Guarantee (FFCLE-DEL): Cora AGUERRE (secretary), Ana MARTINEZ (admission), Maria Luisa DE LA OLIVA (garantee)

Association FOE-FPG : DEL epistemic : Rosa ESCAPA (FOE), Maria Jesús PEDRIDO (FPG).

ITALY

Local Committee of Admission, Reception and Guarantee (CLAG) of the Forum Psicoanalitico Lacaniano : Antonia IMPARATO, Carmine MARRAZZO, Patrizia GILLI (secrétaire).

South America

CLGAL (Local Committee of the Guarantee for Latin America): Ida FREITAS (Brazil), Elizabeth DA ROCHA MIRANDA (Brazil), Fernando MARTINEZ (AL-S), Ricardo ROJAS (AL-N).

2. THE FUNCTIONING OF THE *DISPOSITIF* OF THE PASS

2.1 The list of passers

The list is established in each *dispositif* by the Secretaries of the Pass (see section 2 below) following proposals by the AMS of the *dispositif*. The local secretariats send it to the ICG, which holds the list for all the *dispositifs*, noting the analyst and the date of the proposals.

2.2 Steps in the functioning of the *dispositif*

The demands for the Pass are received locally by the Secretariats of the Pass that establish the list of passers. This list is revised and updated each year by these same Secretariats.

The candidate is received by a member of the Secretariat of the local Pass who refers the candidate to its Secretariat, which then approves or declines the request. The Secretary transmits the response of its Secretariat to the candidate who will then, where applicable, draw the names of his passers. He informs the passand that when his testimony is completed, he must let the Secretary know. If he so wishes, a passand can refuse a passer and draw another name.

The Secretaries of the Pass transmit the following to the Secretaries of the ICG, as they are received:

- The list of demands for the Pass.
- The list of Passes that have commenced after the candidates have been interviewed by a member of the Secretariat, along with the names of the two *passers*, and all the necessary information required for a cartel of the Pass.
- The Secretariat of the ICG decides, with the members of the ICG, on the composition of the Cartels for various taking languages and incompatibilities into account. In a Cartel, it would be appropriate to avoid the presence of: the analyst of the passand, his current supervisor, possibly a current analysand of the same analyst, and also sometimes people who are too close to the *passand*.

The Secretariat of the ICG is responsible for directing Passes to one of the Cartels of the Pass.

2.3 Transmission of the responses of the Cartels of the Pass

-The cartel will write its response to the passand in the simplest form and without justifying it. Depending on the case, this will be: “the cartel has nominated you AS” or “the cartel has not nominated you AS”. It will also include the composition of the Cartel that has ruled on this Pass. On this basis, each Cartel will obviously have to evaluate whether it wishes to add something more in relation to particular cases.

The response will be transmitted without delay.

-A member of the Cartel, chosen by the Cartel, will transmit the response to the passand orally, in person when possible or by phone when distance does not allow that to happen. Following this, the passand can ask to meet another member of the Cartel if he so wishes.

-The response, nomination or non-nomination, is communicated for filing to the two Secretaries of the ICG and the Secretariat of the Pass concerned.

- The Secretariat of the ICG (composed of the two Secretaries of the ICG) holds the Notebook with all these steps. The paper version of this Notebook is passed from ICG to ICG.

- The ICG sends all of these rules regarding functioning to the various local Secretariats.

2.4 The passers

The Analyst Members of the School of the SPFLF can propose passers as is stipulated in the “Principles”. At an opportune moment, they make their proposal to their local Secretariat of the Pass or to the *dispositif* of the School to which they are attached. Each Secretariat can also speak to Analyst Members of the School belonging to that *dispositif*.

The Secretariats of the Pass draw their passers for the passands from a list of passers comprising those passers who have not yet taken up their function in the procedure of the Pass or, alternatively, who have been involved in the lowest number. The passers must necessarily speak the same language as the passand, or speak a language that the passand speaks, but they need not necessarily be from the same place.

The mandate of the passer is limited to three passes. If two years after his designation a passer has not been drawn by lot, the AMS who designated him can either renew or not renew the designation.

It would also be necessary for that the Secretariats of the Pass ensure that the passand who draws his passers by lot is ready to commence his testimony immediately, and to see that the testimony does not go on indefinitely.

2.5 The International Committee of Accreditation of AMS: the composition of the Committee

In the second year of its mandate the International College of the Guarantee constitutes from within it the International Committee of Accreditation of AMS. Its composition is proportional to the number of members of the School in each zone. The list of the new AMS is published in June/July of this second year

At the start of its taking office, the ICG reminds the local committees of the tasks that are incumbent upon them with regard to the criteria and the gathering of information about potential AMS, particularly in zones attached to a *dispositif*. The Local Committees of the Guarantee send their proposals for Analyst Members of the School for their *dispositif* at their convenience.

3. ADDENDUM: THE ADMISSION OF MEMBERS OF THE SCHOOL

A) The articulation between the admission to the Forum and to the School

The rule that consists in first entering the Forum and then the School, must be maintained. However, it should be applied with tact and, in some exceptional cases, a simultaneous entry into the Forum and the School could be envisaged.

B) The question of criteria has been taken up and has led to the following suggestions:

Two interviews or one interview with two people does not appear excessive. Regular participation in the activities of the Forum or the division, particularly in cartels, and possibly in the Clinical College to which the candidate belongs, is to be taken into account. But the question of greater participation in the national activities, for example, the *Journées* [Study Days], must be taken into account.

Since our School has international *dispositifs*, the international dimension cannot be ignored. This dimension must be presented to the candidate at the first interview so that he is fully aware of where he is intending to enter before his second interview. The works published following the *Journées*, inter-cartels etc., are an objective indicator of the involvement of a

candidate and are to be taken into account. Consultation with the analyst or supervisor cannot be obligatory. It is for the Committee to judge if, in such and such a case, it could be opportune.

C) Condition of admission as Member of the School for members of forums attached to the French instrumentality:

The general condition for admitting a Member of the School belonging to an attached *dispositif* is that enough is known about his analytic training. On that basis, the Committee for Reception and the Guarantee (CRG) will give the response suitable for each case. Moreover, if one of the candidates made the Pass and was not nominated AS, the Cartel that listened to his testimony could possibly propose his admission as Member of the School.

Translated by Susan Schwartz