IV European Convention of the IF-EPFCL

International of Forums
School of Psychoanalysis of the Forums of the Lacanian Field



GIANDOMENICO TIEPOLO, IL MONDO NOVO, 1791, CA' REZZONICO, VENEZIA

VENICE 12-14 JULY 2025

Aula Magna "G. Cazzavillan" Università Cà Foscari Cannaregio 873, Fondamenta San Giobbe

12 JULY
MEETING SCHOOL

THE PASS: EXPERIENCE AND TESTIMONIES

13 -14 JULY
MEETING IF
THE SYMPTOM

THE SYMPTOM IN PSYCHOANALYSIS



International of Forums School of Psychoanalysis of the Forums of the Lacanian Field





For informations: if.epfcl.venezia@gmail.com www.forumlacan.it

MEETING SCHOOL THE PASS: EXPERIENCE AND TESTIMONIES

Experience: Philosophy has always endeavoured to determine the relationship between experience and knowledge: what precedes it or even conditions it, what is deposited in it and what can be transmitted from it. The debates and polemics have bounced back and forth from century to century, without ever concluding that one takes precedence over the other. Any mediation that provides access to the experience will remain on the side of the semblant, and nothing will exhaust its real. Science, in establishing experimentation as a possible measure of truth, has not been able to establish a discourse that is not one of semblance. 'Experience' is a polysemous term, and its German translation reflects its different values: 'Erlebnis' refers to lived experience and its contingency, 'Erfahrung' indicates its value as a process, and 'Experiment' denotes experimentation. The psychoanalytic experience involves these different dimensions. The event that Freud introduced into the world was that of a new knowledge, the unconscious, based on an experience he conceived as an experience of speech. He developed an "experimental" dispositive ordered by the process that Lacan would call the "Freudian process", one which involves the structural effects discovered in transference. The operation "of the analyst" can lead to a subversion of the relation to knowledge and to the jouissance that this transference displaces. Lacan's teaching, which seeks to bear witness to what he emphatically calls "the experience of analysis", specifies its conditions, formalises its structure, implies its effects, and deduces from it the matheme of the Discourse that establishes it. From this he draws out what the experience can produce as an end, from which he distinguishes the "experience of the pass", the passage from psychoanalysand to psychoanalyst, the condition for the future of the analytic act. The proposal of the dispositive of the pass wagers that this experience will not be ineffable and that the School will be able to gather testimonies about it.

Testimony: The Latin 'testimonium' has given rise to 'testament', 'attest, 'contest', 'protest, etc. All these derivatives clearly indicate a performative impact that is found in the Saying [Dire] of the testimony, an act of enunciation that has the value of proof. To witness is to transmit the "knowledge [savoir] of experience" of a lived experience by one person alone, summoned to affirm the value of this unique experience before another who is supposed to validate, or not, this real. Justice and history have placed the function of testimony at the heart of their trials, while underlining its paradoxical aspect: how can one person's experience establish certainty? Wars, the Holocaust and trauma in general give rise to another dilemma for testimony: that between the impossibility and the urgency of saying.

The pass: In proposing the pass as a clinical event and as a dispositive for 'guaranteeing' the analyst, Lacan proposes a knot between experience and testimony, trial and proof. The unheard-of experience of the passant is suddenly presented as the urgency of a testimony that takes the School as its witness. Passeur are also surprised by this knot between testimony and experience. The Cartel in turn, although called "jury" by Lacan, does not emerge untouched by the experience it witnesses, and for which it must give an account. The European Convention of the SPFLF in Venice offers us another opportunity to put our community of experience to the test of our testimonies.

European members of the ICG 2023-2024

Organized by the European members of the IGC: Pedro Pablo Arévalo, Didier Castanet, Anne-Marie Combres, Armando Cote, María Jesús Diaz Gonzalez, Dominique Fingermann, Rebeca García Sanz L., Martine Menès, Mireille Scemama-Erdös, Teresa Trias Sagnier, Radu Turcanu, Anastasia Tzavidopoulou.

MEETING SCHOOL SATURDAY 12 JULY

8.00 - 8.45 Reception e registrations
9.00 Welcome and greeting:

Moreno Blascovich, Head for the Organising Commission
Opening: Dominique Touchon Fingermann,
Secretary for Europe of the ICG 2023-2024

9.30 - 11.00 Discussant: Radu Turcanu Didier Castanet: Truth - Testimony and Act Armando Cote: Nothing is the same Teresa Trías: The effects of affects in the Pass

11.00 - 11.30 Coffee break

11.30 - 13.00 Discussant: Anne-Marie Combres
Clotilde Pascual: Knowing how to deal with the symptom,
knowing how to deal with lalangue
Panos Seretis: The experience that makes one speak
Elisabete Thamer: Experience, testimony, forgetting

13.00 - 14.30 Lunch break

14.30 - 16.00 Discussants: Martine Menès and Philippe Madet
Ana Maeso AE: Being in the gap
Elynes Lima AE: The AS as a witness of the crucial problems
of psychoanalysis (Zoom)
Cristelle Suc AE: Poethics of breath

16.00 - 17.45 Discussants: Anastasia Tzavidopoulou and Patricia Zarowsky
Constanza Lobos AE: De-faire
Pastora Rivera AE: From passant to Analyst of the School:
a fertile breach
Dimitra Kolonia AE: Aberration
Agustina Cedolini AE: The pages of a new birth:
the testimony of an experience (Zoom)

17.45 Conclusion

Diego Mautino, associated with the CAOE 2023-2024 Rosa Guitart, Secretary for Europe of the ICG 2025-2026

MEETINGS IF THE SYMPTOM IN PSYCHOANALYSIS

by Mario Colucci, Patrizia Gilli and Francesco Stoppa

What is the symptom? In the first place, it is the casket of a truth of the subject. Psychoanalysis interprets this thesis: within the symptom there is a truth of desire that the subject would like to know, indeed it is part of the very nature of the symptom to give a glimpse of this truth at the very moment in which it conceals it: a compromise solution in which an unconscious desire of the subject emerges encoded, in the light of consciousness or on the surface of the flesh. In the symptom, in its configuration and in its expressiveness, the story of the subject and his desire is drawn: the story of a truth repressed because it is uncomfortable, scabrous, often unmentionable. Not only, therefore, a sign of a malfunction of an organ of the body or a deviation from a supposed universal norm of health, as medicine conceives it, but a substitute formation, a symbolic metaphor, a clue to be interpreted, a truth to be revealed. It is a conception that attributes a hermeneutical value to the work of psychoanalysis and makes the resolution of the symptom a therapeutic goal. However, Freud himself must admit that the symptom does not disappear, that one must surrender in the face of the persistence of suffering, the attachment of the subject to the repetition of his pain. In the end he realizes that not everything in the symptom is interpretable and that there is a limit to the production of meaning, which is potentially inexhaustible, but fruitless. In clinical practice, one must accept the irreducible hole of meaning at the heart of an analytic experience. The impasse of the unconscious structured as a language is the advent of the real unconscious, which accounts for the stopping point of infinite signification and the discovery that the symptom is not only a formation sensitive to decipherment and symbolic interpretation but is also impregnated with a real drive that repeats itself. Lacan, in the wake of what Freud had identified as an afterlife of the pleasure principle, calls it jouissance. This is why he invents a neologism: he speaks of the varité of the symptom, a term that condenses truth and variety, that is, the fact that the symptom presents itself with different aspects, as endowed with a meaning that can be interpreted and as jouissance that remains outside interpretation. To approach this dimension of the symptom, it is necessary to move from a process of speech to one of writing where it is no longer the signifying chain, but the letter that makes a sign of how each person enjoys his unconscious. Analytic work therefore aims at a subjective elaboration of knowledge, the knowledge of those "fruitful" remains, which transforms the symptom and produces a peculiar form of satisfaction. It is easy to understand how the symptom is not a sign that makes the subject fall into a certain clinical category, so to speak universal, but rather a sign of his singularity, of his being a One irreducible to anyone else, unique, although, in a structural sense, alienated from the Other and therefore entangled in a problem that is still unresolved: to authorize oneself to one's own desire, to be that One. On the one hand, the symptom makes the parlêtre unique and identifies it in its singularity, on the other hand, it is often felt and experienced, by that same parlêtre, as something foreign and senseless, a disorder that harms its narcissism and destabilizes it. This is how most of the time we come to the psychoanalyst, asking for help to get rid of a symptom that we complain about, but to which we are unconsciously attached. It is up to those who accept this question - to its act, to its ethics, to what Lacan calls its savoir-faire - to ensure that the demand for healing (healing that is today expected or demanded quickly) is transformed into a desire to know, into a question about the meaning of that senseless and inopportune thing that is the symptom itself and about its grafting into the fabric of one's existence. Lacan emphasised the historicity and at the same time the provocative nature of the symptom and forged a neologism, hystorisation, a play on words that brings together historisation, historicisation, and hystérisation, hysterisation: the process of rewriting, of resignification après coup, in which the subject retraces the essential events of his life, moving in the space already marked by the Other, by his conditioning, by the contingent situation in which he finds himself thrown, which he has not chosen and which determines him. At the same time, Lacan also gave a name to the responsibility of the analyst in listening to the symptom, calling this responsibility the psychoanalyst's desire. It is a desire which, unlike all common desires, excludes any desire for enjoyment. It is not someone's desire for someone else, it is not intersubjective, but it is a desire for something, a desire that tends towards unconscious knowledge and a subjective truth that is unconscious or unspeakable. The analyst's desire is the antithesis of any psychological or psychotherapeutic approach that aims at an imaginary mastery over the Other or that, in the perspective of an ideal and/or universal good, obeys educational, normative, or adaptive ends. Only this desire of the analyst can grasp the symptom as necessary, that is, as an intimate and singular figure of the subject, which allows the three registers of the imaginary, the symbolic and the real to be knotted together. On the one hand, an analytical path allows us to illuminate the symptom and to dispel some grey areas of reality, in other words to "know how to deal with" the symptom; on the other hand, to name the singular jouissance of the subject and, in so doing, to operate as a function of a loss of jouissance of the symptom, of a reduction of the solipsistic, self-centred satisfaction that is linked to it. This also means getting out of an analytical scene stuck on the first traumatic mark of infantile jouissance, which marks the irreducible singular trait of subjective difference, to also access later forms of jouissance that reopen the games in the subject's life.

MEETING IF SUNDAY 13 JULY

8.00 - 8.45 Reception and registrations **8.45** Opening: Paola Malquori

Effects of symptom transformation

1st table: 9.00 - 10.45 President: Diego Mautino Camila Vidal: *A new love*

Marina Severini: First transformations

Pauline Puyenchet: From the appeal symptom to the enigmatic symptom Eva Orlando: Symptom and sinthome in the silence of women

10.45 - 11.15: Coffee break

2nd table: 11.15 - 12.30 President: Mario Colucci

Patrick Barillot: The end of analysis, from fantasy to symptom Luis Izcovich: Making oneself symptom partner

12.30 - 14.30: Lunch break

Status of the symptom I

1st table: 14.30 - 16.00 President: Mikel Plazaola

Sara Rodowicz-Ślusarczyk: A dream as symptom Didier Castanet: The symptom and the unconscious:

decoding and interpretation
Bernard Toboul: The traumatic sexual

2nd table: 16.00 - 17.30
President: Radu Turcanu
Anita Izcovich: The symptom child
Matilde Pelegri: The bomb signifier
Délia Nan: Follow me!

Closing the proceedings:
Musical event with "Ensemble Trombe FVG"
A festive evening in Venice Historical Centre

MEETING IF MONDAY 14 JULY

Partner symptom

1st table: 9.00 - 10.45

President: Anna Wojakowska-Skiba
Vanessa Brassier: The mother, a symptom for a woman?
Ana Martínez Westerhausen: Is psychoanalysis a symptom?
Some keys and some consequences
Isabella Grande: The sinthome as a resource
Zehra Eryörük: The knot of the symptom

10.45 - 11.15: Coffee break

2nd table: 11.15 - 12.30
President: Natacha Vellut
Francis Le Port: O analyst's body
Colette Soler: The name of the subject's partners

12.30 - 14.30: Lunch break

Status of the symptom II

1st table: 14.30 - 15.45

President: Francisco José Santos Garrido Marc Strauss: The constant of the symptom Bruno Geneste: From the letter to the knot

> 2nd table: 15.45 - 17.15 President: Giulio Artizzu

Francesco Stoppa: Social symptoms and psychoanalytic symptom
Trinidad Sanchez-Biezma de Lander: A pregnant woman
Ramon Miralpeix Jubany: Reflections on the (analytic) symptom in
analytic work with autistic children.

Closing remarks: 17.15 - 17.30 Zehra Eryörük - Paola Malquori Francisco José Santos Garrido Colette Soler

SUB-THEMES OF THE IV CONVENTION

- What are the transformations of the symptom during an analysis?
- THE ANALYTIC SYMPTOM IN CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS
- How to decipher or interpret the symptom?
- THE PSYCHOANALYST AS A PARTNER OF THE SYMPTOM?

Scientific Committee IF

Zehra Eryörük Rosa Escapa Francisco José Santos Garrido Isabella Grande Orsa Kamperou Paola Malquori Colette Soler Natacha Vellut

Organising Committee

Moreno Blascovich Francesca Baggio Annalisa Bucciol Kety Ceolin Elisa Flora Cestari Mario Colucci Domenico Ferrara Patrizia Gilli Paola Grifo Manuela Landini
Antonella Loriga
Elena Marotti
Massimiliano Paparella
Silvana Perich
Caterina Santaniello
Michela Sivieri
Francesco Stoppa
Flavia Tagliafierro







For informations: if.epfcl.venezia@gmail.com

www.forumlacan.it